

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BERNARDO CASILLO ZAMORA, JOSE EDWIN MENDEZ, and JAVIER DIAZ PLAZA, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

JGL RESTAURANT CORP. d/b/a ITALIAN VILLAGE PIZZA and JOSE LEON,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 22 Civ. 5739 (ALC) (SLC)

ORDER

SARAH L. CAVE, United States Magistrate Judge.

On March 6, 2024, due to Defendants' failure to comply with the Court's January 30, 2024 Order and timely submit a pre-mediation statement (ECF No. 26), the Court issued an Order cancelling the virtual settlement conference previously scheduled for Monday, March 11, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. (the "Settlement Conference") and requiring Defendants to show cause explaining their failure to abide by the Court's Order. (ECF No. 28 (the "OTSC")). Later that day, Defendants filed a letter-motion seeking to adjourn the Settlement Conference because Defendant Jose Leon has been summoned for jury duty and will be unavailable. (ECF No. 29 (the "Request")). The Court denied the Request as the Settlement Conference had already been cancelled, and directed Defendants to include in their response to the OTSC "the date on which Mr. Leon became aware he would be unavailable for the Settlement Conference on March 11, 2024, and [] a copy of his summons for jury duty." (ECF No. 30).

Today, March 13, 2024, Defendants filed a letter apologizing to the Court, explaining that defense counsel had not been receiving ECF notifications in this matter, and requesting that the

Settlement Conference be rescheduled. (ECF No. 31 at 2 (the “Letter”).) While the Court notes that Defendants’ response to the OTSC is deficient in several respects—Defendants did not include a declaration or affidavit; Defendants did not include the date on which Mr. Leon became aware he would be unavailable for the Settlement Conference; and Defendants did not include a copy of Mr. Leon’s summons for jury duty, all as required by the OTSC (ECF No. 28)—and it is well-settled that counsel are obligated to track the dockets of their cases and that obligation is not excused when they do not receive ECF notifications, see, e.g., Banguah v. Rodriguez, No. 04 Civ. 7227 (LAK), 2005 WL 2482487, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 6, 2005) (“counsel who rely upon e-mailed notices of electronic filing to keep abreast of their cases do so at their own peril. They are responsible for knowledge of what appears on the docket sheet regardless of any e-mail failures”), the Court nevertheless deems the OTSC satisfied.

The Court will reschedule the Settlement Conference by separate Order.

Dated: New York, New York
 March 13, 2024

SO ORDERED.



SARAH L. CAVE
United States Magistrate Judge